Appendix 9 - Economic Appraisal Supporting Information

- NPV calculations
- Village Health System Benefits by year
- Short list detailed appraisal

NPV Calculations

Summary CBA – Preferred Option UK Perspective

						-												
Villages C	JBA (Simp	lified) - Pre	ferred Implen	mentation														
			1	2	3	4	5	6	. 7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
			Project Period				Post-Project											15 Yr
			2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	Total
Costs						'												
City Deal Car	apital Investm	ment	0	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923	3,076,923		40,000,000
Investment	(discounted	d/bias factored)	,	3,692,308	3,563,077	3,438,369	3,318,026	3,201,895	3,089,829	2,981,685	2,877,326	2,776,620	2,679,438	2,585,658	2,495,160	2,407,829	-	39,107,220
Cumulative	Costs (discou	unted/bias)	-	3,692,308	7,255,385	10,693,754	14,011,780	17,213,676	20,303,505	23,285,190	26,162,516	28,939,135	31,618,573	34,204,231	36,699,391	39,107,220	39,107,220	39,107,220
		!				<u> </u>												
<u>Benefits</u>						<u> </u>												
Cumulative	Benefits (dis	scounted)*	-	579,000	41,249,482	39,916,149	40,691,077	41,989,713	44,408,015	47,975,664	52,688,149	58,537,049	65,510,408	73,520,716	82,485,211	92,325,653	102,968,113	102,968,113
						'												
NPV				- 3,113,308	33,994,097	29,222,395	26,679,297	24,776,037	24,104,510	24,690,474	26,525,633	29,597,913	33,891,834	39,316,485	45,785,820	53,218,434	63,860,893	63,860,893
Base Analysi	is		Sensitivity Analy	ysis										Optimism Bias				
Scenario Sur	mmary	BCR	20% reduction in	n Wider Benefit	BCR	1Yr Delay in Be	nefits	BCR	20% increase in	costs	BCR			0.20				
10Yr NPV 2	29,597,913	2.023	10Yr NPV			10Yr NPV	23,749,014	1.82	10Yr NPV	23,810,086	1.68							
15Yr NPV 6	63,860,893	2.633	15Yr NPV			15Yr NPV	24,753,674	2.36	15Yr NPV	56,039,449	2.19							
		,	40% reduction in	n Benefits	BCR	Slow Mobilisa	tion (6month delay)	BCR	1Yr Delay and -7	20% Wider Benefit	BCR							
			10Yr NPV	6,183,094		10Yr NPV	26,673,464	1.92	10Yr NPV									
			15Yr NPV	22,673,648	1.58	15Yr NPV	58,539,664	2.50	15Yr NPV									







Village Health System Benefits Breakdown by Year

					Post-Project											15 Yr
			2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	Total
<u>Benefits</u>		Population	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	
Resident - Reduced GP Visits #			640	640	640	640	640	640	640	640	640	640	640	640	640	8,320
Resident -	Resident - Reduced GP Visits £			24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	316,160
Resident - Reduced Admittance #			64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	832
Resident -	- Reduced A	dmittance £	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	52,224	678,912
Resident -	- Improved	Return (wks)	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	832
Resident -	- Improved	Return £	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	159,360	2,071,680
Communi	ity - Reduce	d GP Visits #	640	1,280	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	44,160
Community - Reduced GP Visits £			48,640	48,640	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	145,920	1,702,400
Community - Reduced Admittance #			128	128	384	384	384	384	384	384	384	384	384	384	384	4,480
Communi	ity - Reduce	d Admittance £	104,448	104,448	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	313,344	3,655,680
Communi	ity - Improve	ed Return (wks)	64	64	192	192	192	192	192	192	192	192	192	192	192	2,240
Communi	ity - Improve	ed Return £	159,360	159,360	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	478,080	5,577,600
																-
Total Bene	efits		548,352	548,352	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	1,173,248	14,002,432
Total Benefits (discounted)			510,639	492,767	1,017,417	981,808	947,444	914,284	882,284	851,404	821,605	792,849	765,099	738,320	712,479	10,428,398
Cumulativ	Cumulative Benefits (discounted)			1,003,406	2,020,823	3,002,631	3,950,075	4,864,359	5,746,643	6,598,046	7,419,651	8,212,500	8,977,599	9,715,919	10,428,398	10,428,398
NPV			510,639	1,003,406	2,020,823	3,002,631	3,950,075	4,864,359	5,746,643	6,598,046	7,419,651	8,212,500	8,977,599	9,715,919	10,428,398	10,428,398

Short-list Detailed Appraisal

The 4 short listed options identified are described in more detailed below along with an assessment of the potential outcomes.

Status Quo

Each constituent organisation matures their strategic business plans in relative isolation. The ILS in Swansea continues to progress research and business development opportunities in Swansea, with growth and development on the existing University campus. There is strong competition for development space from other initiatives and University main stream business and grant application priorities across the institution. Partnership working continues in existing capacity and environments. CCC pushes ahead with development at Delta Lakes but it lacks strategic connection.

Resulting impact:

- Delay in realising the opportunities for economic development and risk for a further decline in demographics
- Loss of identified private and public sector funding due to lack of pace and responsiveness
- Missed opportunities to contribute to ARCH and partner organisation objectives
- Reputational risk and loss of confidence in partners due to slow progress being achieved
- Competitiveness of the region compromised due to lack of timely investment
- Exacerbation of current economic and health needs of the region, making it much more challenging to achieve positive impact in the future.

Do Minimum

Attract publically funded investment for the public sector partners to develop key areas of the life science and wellbeing village within existing affordability and timing constraints. A new business development site, including a satellite of the ILS network, is developed at Delta Lakes that has a 'sector focus' and incrementally enhances partnership working.

Resulting impact:

- Failure to meet full economic development potential
- Potential time delays in delivery of any Public Sector deliverables due to not being fully involved and engaged
- Questionable overall affordability for SMEs and growing enterprise in the sector due to lack of integration with Public Sector resources
- Will result in regional disparity and potential widening of the social gap particularly in terms of delivering affordable health and wellbeing services
- Benefits for the Llanelli area will be realised, but not replicated elsewhere
- Living Laboratory potential reduced, making engagement of the full population unlikely
- Failure to enhance Research Impact and Research Excellence Framework (REF) outputs to full potential
- Creating competition rather than collaboration within the Life Science & Well-Being Ecosystem

Preferred Way Forward – Limited Scope

Funding secured through a combination of private and other public sector investment. Private sector investment attracted through property development. ILS, I2 business incubation and acceleration facilities are created alongside a new Wellbeing Skills Centre, (funded by University-secured grant/loan rather than City Deal), which compliments new health and social care facilities funded through private and other public sector investment. Incremental development over medium-long term, as funding opportunities materialise and can be secured. NHS integration achieved through either NHS Wales capital funding (when available) or private sector property investment.

Resulting impact:

- Considerable economic development potential
- Improvements in health and wellbeing of people with the region
- Access to business incubation and acceleration capabilities with access to the market
- Leisure and tourism facilities partially linked to health, research and tourism. However, opportunities for development not maximised
- Realisation of a comprehensive benefits programme through developing the links between all elements of the Villages, albeit over a longer period. Therefore whilst there will be a strong environment for co-production between skills, employment and business development, some opportunities may take longer to be realised
- Some improvement in the current ecosystem within the life science and health sector in the region
- Links between research and development and sustainability within related sectors not optimised.

Preferred Way Forward – Full Scope

City Deal investment secured with 50/50 match funding requirement exceeded, allowing the full ambition of the Life Science and Wellbeing Village to be realised in the short-medium term, catalysing and accelerating economic development. In addition to the ILS, I2 and the Wellbeing Skills Centre, state-of-the-art leisure and tourism facilities are created for the local communities and a critical mass of business activity attracts global brands for collaborative working with the partners. NHS integration achieved through private sector investment – economies of scale (financial and knowledge) achieved.

Resulting impact:

- Impact of investment would have regional and national significance maximising the potential of a UK-leading initiative.
- Integrated business, skills, health and leisure resources for communities in the region
- Large scale collaboration across public, private and third sectors addressing the needs of the region; the whole being greater than the sum of the parts
- Diversified and upskilled fit-for-purpose workforce
- Living Laboratory for research and development and service improvement across multiple sites working towards inclusion of all citizens
- Maximised opportunities for job creation and economic impact

The short-listed options were evaluated in the following matrix (table 9) to ensure each is appraised on merit. A RAG scoring system has been utilised, with green taken to mean optimum/best outcome, yellow an intermediate outcome and red an undesirable output. The matrix assumes that we will achieve more than the status-quo scenario, and hence this is not included.

	Do minimum	PWF – limited scope	PWF – Full Scope
		(Intermediate)	(Do Maximum)
Scope	Development of publically funded business space at Delta Lakes, anchored in a specialist sector including an ILS satellite facility	ILS and I2 in Llanelli, alongside Wellbeing Skills Centre. Some private sector property investment	ILS and I2 and Wellbeing Skills Centre in Llanelli, featured as part of a whole Village concept (leisure centre, assisted living, hotel) with property and knowledge investments from industry
Service Solution	Creation of business park with specific service focus which includes some ILS activity linked to Swansea University and the wider network	Specific life science focus with multiplier effect due to integration with education, health service delivery, skills and training	Specific life science focus with enhanced and accelerated economic impact due to integrated development alongside full remit of the Wellbeing Village, including tourism and assisted living
Service Delivery	Tendency to focus on a silo approach. Resources available to deliver services are limited due to availability of public capital investment and individual partner revenue implications	Specific business development and education partnership working. Delayed service development due to availability of grant and loan funding. Challenging revenue implications of repaying any private- only property investment	Innovative, enhanced partnership working across public and private partners with the development of fully integrated services: economic development, health care, social care, and leisure/tourism. Includes 'living laboratory' for enhanced R&D opportunities. Economies of scale and cost-effective funding
Implementation Timing	Long term	Medium-long term	Short-medium term
Funding	Publically funded from some already	Mixed funding solution. Public	Potential for public funding from

	identified sources and	funding from some	identified sources
	some unidentified,	already identified	(CCC and City Deal)
	but traditional,	sources and some	with appropriate
	sources. Limitations	unidentified, but	scope and no delays.
	and delays due to	traditional, sources.	Private investment
	pressures on the	Limitations and	(property and
	public purse	delays due to	knowledge) aligned
	public purse	pressures on the	
		•	with public sector
		public purse.	investment. Ongoing
		Accelerated with	collaborative
		private investment	approach to project
		(property-only).	delivery. Economy of
		Lacks ongoing	scale for private sector
		private sector	loan/equity.
		engagement.	Integrated master
			planning and
			workflows.
Outcomes	Some job creation	Enhanced job	Maximised impact;
	without integrated	creation through	projected job creation
	skills and	opportunities to link	of 1,853 and training
	development	skills development,	across life science &
	programme.	improving over	wellbeing, leisure and
	Distributed activity	medium-long term.	tourism sectors.
	minimises impact	Benefits from co-	Benefits from co-
		location and	location and
		collaboration	collaboration between
		between public	all partners. 'The
		sector partners.	whole is greater than
		, in the second	the sum of the parts'.

The key rationale for co-location of services is to maximise the potential benefits and interconnectivity of economic development, training and healthy lifestyles to identify and facilitate opportunities for co-production. Llanelli Life Science and Wellbeing Village will not focus on providing an environment for business and services to be delivered as they currently are but through collaboration and co-production to enable benefits to be maximised through working in a different way to meet this transformational economic aim. This is the driver behind the preferred option of a full scope development incorporating and enhancing the diversity and full potential of all partners. The carefully chosen location will give critical land masses with integrated infrastructure and resources to deliver this revolutionary concept at regional scale and of national significance